Balancing hospital’s financials through implementing Cost of Quality Models
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ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Hospitals integrate different quality improvement models such as lean and cost of quality to identify the wastes resulted from the cost of poor quality and spot the opportunities for improving the cost of good quality. This study reviews the literature related to these two models and highlights its implementation in the healthcare sector.

Design: the study is based on a systematic-review of previous literature and summarizes the best modules and practices of cost of quality in healthcare sector.

Findings: One of the main research implications is that accounting and quality assurance teams have to cooperate and share information to reduce undesirable costs and improve the hospital’s financials. However, future studies are encouraged to expand the research empirically to discover hidden costs of quality and implement different improvement quality models to enrich the literature.

Originality: It is a complicated task to balance between reducing the cost and providing a high quality service. This study is targeted for quality, finance and accounting managers and it highlights the main issues for measuring the cost of quality in hospitals.
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1. Introduction

Providing a product or a service with high quality that meets the customer’s needs is considered as the main target for most organizations. However, the process of achieving such a goal is subject to variety of factors that influence its level of conformance. In the healthcare sector, most of hospitals tend to provide high quality services with the lowest cost as a part of its social and humanity prospects. Meanwhile, there are many factors affecting the quality of healthcare services including patient and provider related factors and environmental factors such as management and leadership, resources, facilities and healthcare financing system (Mosadeghrad, 2014).

Currently, most of healthcare organizations are allocating their budgets to match with the costs of providing healthcare services. As cited by the Alliance for Health Reform (2012), the cost of healthcare services is driven by several factors such as fragmented delivery and financing system, technology diffusion, regulations and mainly the
quality conflicts that would increase the cost and reduce the value of the service provided. Thus, it is essential for hospitals to find potential quality improvement approaches that consider all cost implications and thus help managers to take better decisions regarding the expenditure on quality-related activities.

By definition, cost of quality (COQ) is a term that is commonly used but frequently misunderstood. It is not always the price of creating a quality product or service. Yet, it is the cost of not adding value due to errors and poor management practices such as reworking, retesting and correction of errors (Ross, 2008). The COQ concept was first developed in the 1950s as a tool for managers to assess quality improvement and profit contributions (Juran, 1951). In general, there are two categories namely, cost of good quality (COGQ) that includes prevention and appraisal costs, and the cost of poor quality (COPQ) that includes the internal and external failure costs (Arabian, 2013).

Specifically, prevention costs result from preventing poor quality and maintaining good quality of production, while appraisal costs are associated with checking conformance of products and services mainly through auditing and evaluation. However, failure costs are related to products and services that do not have conformance to quality standards and customer needs. Failure costs are observed prior to production (internal failures) or after delivery (external failures) to the customer (Elbireer, 2010). However, Omachonu et al. (2004) illustrated that as appraisal and prevention costs increase, the quality improves and failure cost decreases. Some examples regarding COQ in healthcare organizations are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Examples of COQ in Healthcare Organizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of Good Quality (COGQ)</th>
<th>Cost of Poor Quality (COPQ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevention costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Appraisal costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Salaries and benefits for quality assurance team, administration and technical staff</td>
<td>- Quality control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Training</td>
<td>- Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Quality planning</td>
<td>- Supplier rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Preventive maintenance contracts for equipments</td>
<td>- Quality audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Office supplies for documentation and annual competency assessment</td>
<td>- External Quality Assurance (EQA) surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal failure costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>External failure costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- wastes at inventory level</td>
<td>- Warranty expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reeducating staff.</td>
<td>- Complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Repeat ion of tests</td>
<td>- Delay in reporting test results to clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Data entry errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In hospitals, seven non-value adding activities can increase the cost of poor quality as identified by lean healthcare practitioners namely; overproduction, over processing, transportation, waiting, inventory, motion, unclear communication and correction of errors (HPP, 2011; Burke, 2011; Robbins, 2009; Bicheno & Holweg, 2009, Womack et al., 2005). By definition, lean healthcare is a set of practices that engage employees to eliminate wastes, identify root causes, solve problems and standardize the work through controlling hospitals’ resources in line with the customers’ requirements at high quality, less cost and short lead time (Aoun, 2015). Consequently, the objective of this study is to highlight the best employed approaches to improve the hospital’s financials through reviewing previous literature and empirical studies that have focused on implementing lean practices to control COQ in healthcare organizations.

2. Literature Review
The COQ is considered as a tool to determine the optimal level of quality investment, where COQ analysis enables organizations to identify, measure and control the consequences of poor quality and utilize their limited resources (Tsai et al., 2012). It is essential to assess the effectiveness of quality methods applied to determine opportunities, threats, problem areas and action priorities. Philip Crosby argued that organizations choose to pay for poor quality as he used the model of COQ to describe the monetary impact of quality issues and referred it to the price of nonconformance (Crosby, 1979). Moreover, Duffy (2013) stated that in a successful organization, costs of poor quality may represent about 10 to 15 percent of operations while other studies showed that cost of poor quality...
presents up to 70% of the total cost of quality as shown in Table 2 (Zimak, 2000). Thus, effective quality improvement programs can reduce such a lost and make a direct contribution to profits.

**Table 2:** Overall COQ, COGQ and COPQ percentages relative to operating expenses in different hospitals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Overall COQ from OE</th>
<th>COGQ of Total COQ</th>
<th>COPQ of Total COQ</th>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menichino (1992)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimak (2000)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zahar (2015)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


According to Arabian et al. (2013), there are five common COQ models namely prevention - appraisal - failure (PAF) model, the process cost model (PCM), opportunity or intangible cost model, Crosby’s model and activity-based costing (ABC) model. Although PAF model was found to be the most popular model, quality managers are encouraged to select the COQ model that best fit the condition, environment, objectives and organization’s needs.

In the same context, Schiffauerova and Thomson (2006) conducted a review of research reviewed on COQ models and best practices and summarized their finding in Table 2 below.

**Table 2:** Generic COQ models and cost categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic model</th>
<th>Cost/activity categories</th>
<th>Examples of publications describing, analyzing or developing the model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crosby’s model</td>
<td>conformance + non-conformance</td>
<td>Suminsky, 1994; Denton and Kowalski, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity or intangible cost models</td>
<td>prevention + appraisal + failure</td>
<td>Sandoval-Chavez and Beruvides, 1998; Modarres and Ansari, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity or intangible cost models</td>
<td>conformance + non-conformance</td>
<td>Carr, 1992; Malchi and McGurk, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity or intangible cost models</td>
<td>opportunity + opportunity conformance + non-conformance + opportunity tangibles + intangibles P-A-F (failure cost includes opportunity cost)</td>
<td>Juran et al., 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process cost models</td>
<td>conformance + non-conformance</td>
<td>Ross, 1977; Marsh, 1989; Goulden and Rawlins, 1995; Crossfield and Dale, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC models</td>
<td>value-added + non-value-added</td>
<td>Cooper, 1988; Cooper and Kaplan, 1988; Tsai, 1998; Jorgenson and Enkerlin, 1992; Dawes and Siff, 1993; Hester, 1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Schiffauerova and Thomson (2006)
Different studies applied these COQ models in healthcare organizations and obtained significant results such as Menichino (1992) who found that quality improvement programs which are based on COQ analysis have reduced the costs of non-conformance by 83% in a period of 9 months.

Also, Blegen et al., (1995) found in their 18 months-long study that improving the quality of care at a tertiary-level university hospital has reduced the average length of stay by 13.5% (0.7 days) and the average costs decreased by 13.1% ($518). In addition, patients’ perception of healthcare quality increased from 4.26 to 4.41 based on a 1-5 scale. Furthermore, Malchi and McGurk (2001) measured the alternative or hidden costs of total COQ in a pharmaceutical company. The hidden cost represents the lost sales, delays, extra inventory and unidentified scrap where implementing COQ model led to 11% reduction in the total COQ.

Crosby (1979) believes that each defect represents a hidden cost such as inspection time, wasted material and labor, rework, lost revenue and the cost of customer dissatisfaction. However, the magnitude of these costs can be made apparent when these deficits are properly identified. Thereby, the concept of lean healthcare is to recognize, reduce and eliminate wastes once the problem occurs, to help cut costs, improve patient services and ensure most advantageous utilization of existing resources (Jekiel, 2011; Patton, 2009; Casey, 2007).

There are many lean tools that have been addressed by previous studies to implement lean philosophy in hospitals mainly kaizen, quick changeover, poka-yoke, just-in-time, jidoka, andon, kanban, and hoshin kanri (Aoun, 2015; Weber, 2013; Ortiz, 2012; Aikens, 2011; Shah & Ward, 2007). For example, Esmail (2005) examined how lean six-sigma reduces medication errors at a hospital’s pharmacy department. Based on the findings, she estimated labor cost reductions of $1.32 million annually and a 55% reduction in medication errors where the total number of errors dropped from 213 in February to 96 errors in June.

Moreover, Olivier and Seyedzadeh (2006) referred to lean implementations while using the cost of poor quality to drive process improvement in medical institutions. They focused on the importance of enhancing automated test equipment (Jidoka) and to reduce errors with process design changes (poka-yoke). Furthermore, the healthcare performance partners (HPP, 2012) have implemented different lean healthcare tools to reduce wastes and cut cost at an emergency department. Upon using the value stream mapping tool, the hospital saved $230000 and patient waiting time decreased by 59% where total patient time in the department dropped to two hours.

In addition, the HPP team employed the lean workplace redesign methodology that presents the 5S principles to remove wastes. The study achieved a 43% in overall waste reduction, 30% increase in care related activities and 12% decrease in wasted motion. Likewise, Lowe (2013) showed how successful the Kaizen promotion office at Park Nicollet Health Services in Minnesota was in implementing lean principles. Over two years of lean efforts, 1431 excess inventory items were removed; cycle time was reduced by 76 hours per day and 3977 defects were eliminated per day.

3. Improvement of Healthcare Financials

As it is financially approved, the net operating income (NOI) represents the long-term financial viability of hospitals that is mainly the result of deducting the hospital’s total operating expenses from the total revenues obtained. If sustained NOI margins are below 2 to 3% of total operating costs, hospitals are considered to fail financially (Palmer et al., 2006). Therefore, it is essential for hospitals to manage the costs and maintain an acceptable range of NOI to ensure its sustainability in the healthcare market.

Globally, costs of operations in healthcare sector are either fixed or variable costs. Fixed costs are the expenses paid regardless the number of patients, while variable costs are directly proportional to patient number. For instance, as the number of patients increases, the hospital has to count more medications and disposable equipments. However, Researchers have found that 84 to 89% of hospitals’ costs fall in the fixed cost category (Graves, 2004; Roberts et al., 1999). Furthermore, Pudlo and Szabo (2014) illustrated how the operating income is highly affected by the variation of revenue and operating expenses at organizations which majority of its costs is fixed. As operating expenses increase, the revenue and operating income decrease. Thus, it is essential for hospitals to manage the operating expenses and reduce the wasted costs in order to increase its revenue and benefits for the long term.

As discussed earlier, integrating lean and COQ models would effectively reduce wasteful operations that narrow the profit margins and potentially lead to poor quality services. Thus, implementing such process improvements
would improve operations and provide hospitals with necessary information for identifying the potential savings to be gained. Scotty (2013) stated that accountants lack the necessary information for connecting waste with expense accounts. Meanwhile, quality auditors may not have access to ledgers. Therefore, it is very important to have cooperation between accounting and quality assurance staff to estimate the cost of quality and develop strategies for financial and operational improvement.

4. Conclusion
In conclusion, it is apparent from previous literature how costs of poor quality and wasteful operations reduce profit margins and inhibit hospitals from having the potential of generating the true revenue. Therefore, providing lean and COQ trainings to accounting staff and facilitating the accessibility of quality assurance team to ledger would help to fill the information gap and build a direct linkage to enhance the hospitals’ financials. Future studies can expand this study empirically and provide more case studies to support the literature in terms of applied COQ and quality improvement models.
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